Efficacy of Granisetron on Attenuation of Hemodynamic Responses of Parturients Undergoing Elective Cesarean Delivery under Spinal Anesthesia

Sussan Soltani Mohammadi1,*, Somayeh Mehrpoor1, Alireza Saliminia1

Background: Maternal bradycardia and hypotension are the most common intraoperative complications after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery. Prophylactic administration of ondansetron has been reported to provide a protective effect. In this study we evaluated the effect of intravenous granisetron, another serotonin 5-HT3 receptor antagonist, on prevention of these complications.

Methods: Thirty-four ASA class I-II patients undergoing elective cesarean section under spinal anesthesia were randomly allocated into two equal groups, control saline (n=17) or granisetron groups (n=17). After insertion of standard monitoring, 5ml/kg lactated Ringer’s solution was infused over 15 minutes. In saline group 3ml of 0.9% saline and in granisetron 3mg (3ml) granisetron was injected intravenously five minutes before spinal anesthesia. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure and heart rate were all recorded every two minutes during first twenty minutes and then every five minutes until the end of surgery and compared between the groups.

Results: Demographic data and median of sensory block level were not statistically different between the groups. There was no statistical difference between the study groups regarding the systolic, diastolic and heart rate at measured points except at second minute after spinal anesthesia that was lower in saline group (P=0.01).

Conclusion: This study showed that intravenous granisetron has little protective effect on attenuation of hemodynamic responses of parturients undergoing elective cesarean section under spinal anesthesia.
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allocated into two equal groups, granisetron (n=17) or control saline group (n=17). Randomization was done by means of computer-generated codes and was concealed until interactions were assigned. Exclusion criteria included any contraindications to spinal anaesthesia, BMI at term >35 kg/m2, history of allergy to ondansetron, hypertension or other cardiovascular disease and requirement for blood transfusion during surgery.

On arrival to the operating room, standard monitoring was applied to all patients including electrocardiogram, noninvasive arterial blood pressure (NIBP) and pulse oximeter. An 18-gauge intravenous catheter was placed on the dorsum of non-dominant hand of the patients and 5 ml/kg lactated Ringer’s solution was infused over 15 minutes before spinal anesthesia.

Patients received no premedication and prepared solution (granisetron 3mg or saline) with the same volume (3ml) were injected intravenously five minutes performing spinal anesthesia by anesthesiologist who was blinded to the allocation. All patients were blocked in the lateral position in which a 25guage Quincke needle was inserted by midline approach into the L3-4 or L4-5 interspaces and after ensuring the correct position of the needle, 12mg of hypertonic 0.5 % bupivacaine was injected. Patients were immediately placed in the supine position after the block. A resident of anesthesiology, blinded to the study solutions, measured hemodynamic parameters including heart rate (HR), systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) at time of spinal drug administration and at 2minutes intervals up to 20 min, followed by 5minutes intervals until the end of surgery. Upper sensory levels were also assessed at 5-min intervals. In case of SBP <100 mmHg or DBP <60 mmHg, 50 µg intravenous phenylephrine was administered and HR <50 beats/min was treated with intravenous atropine 0.5 mg. In patients with partial block and pain, fentanyl was injected as rescue medication.

**Statistical analysis**

A sample size of 15 patients in each group will be sufficient in order to detect a 10 mmHg difference in MAP between groups with 80% power and 5% probability of type I error. Consuming that 10% of patients may drop out of the study due to different reason the sample size as increased to 17 patients in each group. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS package (version 23, SPSS, Chicago, IL). Normality of distribution of data was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data were analyzed with independent sample t-test, chi-square and Mann-Whitney U test when appropriate. Two tailed P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Demographic data and median of sensory block level were not statistically different between the study groups (Table 1). Duration of surgery and anesthesia were 49.2± 11.5 and 88.1±3.6 minutes in granisetron and 52.3± 10 and 80.2±6.5 minutes in control saline group respectively (P=0.3 and P=0.2).

Three patients in granisetron and two in saline group received atropine (P=0.5). Nine patients in saline and eight patients in granisetron group received phenylephrine for hypotension (P=0.49).

The amount of total phenylephrine consumption was 450µg and 400µg in saline and granisetron group respectively (P=0.5). Two patients in each group received 50µg fentanyl as rescue medication for pain (P=0.6).

There was no statistical difference in systolic, diastolic, MAP and heart rate between the study groups at time measured points except at second minutes that MAP was lower in saline group (P=0.01) (Figures 1-4).

Total bleeding was 850ml and 900 ml in granisetron and saline group respectively and there was no need for blood transfusion (P=0.23).

Figure 1- Comparison of systolic blood pressure between the study groups.
Figure 2- Comparison of diastolic blood pressure between the study groups.

Figure 3- Comparison of mean arterial blood pressure between the study groups.

Figure 4- Comparison of heart rate between the study groups.
Discussion

This study showed that granisetron 3mg intravenously has little protective effect on reducing spinal anesthesia induced hemodynamic responses during CS compared to saline control group.

Regional anesthesia is a safe method for cesarean delivery because pregnancy induced physiologic changes increase chance of difficult intubation however, it has own set of complications including hypotension and bradycardia [15-16].

Different techniques have been studied for prevention of these complications. Some studies compared crystalloid preloading to colloid preloading and others tested preloading to co-loading. Physical methods like lower leg compression have been tested too but, these methods vary in their effectiveness [17-19].

Many studies compared use of pharmacological drugs including ephedrine, phenylephrine, 5-HT3 antagonists and other drugs with fluid loading however, none of them is effective alone to prevent hypotension [3-19].

Sahoo et al studied 52 patients undergoing CS. They administered 4mg ondansetron and preloaded them with crystalloid at dose of 20ml/kg over a period of 30 minutes. They concluded that prophylaxis with intravenous 4 mg ondansetron is effective against spinal anesthesia induced hypotension. In contrast to our study, we found no effective protection of granisetron on spinal induced hemodynamic response of parturient that may be due to different type of drug and less volume preload [7].

In a study by Owczuk et al on 71 patients, they administered 8 mg of ondansetron to one group, and saline as placebo to other Group. They gave maximum of 200 ml of normal saline during the study period and found that systolic blood pressure was higher in ondansetron group. They found no difference in heart rate between two groups that was similar to our study [9].

Oritz-Gómez et al conducted a study on 128 healthy pregnant women scheduled for elective cesarean delivery under spinal anaesthesia. They administered three different doses of ondansetron 2, 4 or 8 mg intravenously before induction of spinal anaesthesia and concluded that it had little effect on the incidence of hypotension in these groups of patients that was similar to our study [10].

Our limitations were small sample size, using just one dose of drug and less volume preload before the spinal block administration. We recommend further studies with larger sample size and different doses of granisetron to evaluate the effect of it on reducing hemodynamic responses and also on postoperative nausea, vomiting and shivering in pregnant patients undergoing spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery.
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Table 1- Comparing demographic data and level of sensory block between the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Granisetron group (n=17)</th>
<th>Saline group (n=17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>30.8±8.5</td>
<td>31.2±6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>71.8±6.4</td>
<td>73.1±7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>154.8±7.9</td>
<td>156.1±3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA class I/II(n)</td>
<td>14/3</td>
<td>13/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median of sensory block level</td>
<td>T4(T5T10)</td>
<td>T4(T4T10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD, number of patients (n), median (range), P> 0.05


